## Attachment to Independent Case Review Report For CDRU # 6336 Case file # 95-251022.

Material Examiner:

Malone (RQ)

## Remarks:

After research it has been determined that the original incoming letter (with original Laboratory number sticker 30131091) or copy of incoming letter (with original Laboratory number sticker 30131091) of Examiner Malone (RO) is missing from the case file at the time of review by the Independent Scientist.

Case resulted in trial, transcript available.

Independent Review conducted by:		Steve Robertso	on				
Area(s) of Expertis	e; Haira	ind Fib	er		<del>-</del>		
Review commenced at: 2:30 PM			(Time),	03/14/2001	(Dat		
File #: 95-2510	22	-					
Laboratory #(s):	208270 <b>32</b>						
	30131091						_
			Examiner(s	s) & Symbol:	s		
	Revi	ewęd	Not Reviewed			Reviewed	Not Reviewed
RQ	χa		a			•	0
TN			χu			a	а
			0			<b>D</b> .	0
Trial testimony tran	iscript(s) of	١	Materials	s Reviewed	_		
Testimony	Date(s):			Pages:			
Laboratory Report(s)							
Laboratory Number: 2082		27032		Date:	Oct 25, 1982	<u>.                                      </u>	
Laboratory Number: 301		31091	_	Date:	Feb 1, 1983	<u> </u>	
Laboratory Number:				Date:		<u> </u>	
Examiner Bench No	otes of:	RQ			_		
Laboratory Number: 2082		27032					
		3013	31091		- -		
					_		
			Page 1	of <u>4</u>	_		
	•		Initials:	Sur			
						(	CRM 1471 H

INDEPENDENT CASE REVIEW REPORT

	Results of R	eview		
File #: 95-25	51022 Item or Specimen #	Reviewed: C	(1-Q5, K1,	, K2, K5-K7
	Review of Laboratory Report	(s) and Bench	Notes:	
	Note: Numbered comments are r additional pages for any "No" or "Unab			es
	examiner perform the appropriate tests in a sc			
2) Are the	examination results set forth in the laboratory in notes?	X 🗆 No 🗆 Un	able to De	termine quately documented in
2) Are the	□ Yes examination results set forth in the laboratory	X O No O Un report(s) supporte X O No O Un	able to De	termine quately documented in
2) Are the	□ Yes examination results set forth in the laboratory th notes? □ Yes	X \( \text{No} \) \( U In or	able to De ed and ade able to De	termine quately documented in termine
2) Are the	Pyes examination results set forth in the laboratory th notes?  Review of Test  Note: Numbered comments are r additional pages for any "No" or "Unat	X \( \text{No} \) \( U In or	able to De ed and ade able to De	termine quately documented in termine
2) Are the the bence	Pyes examination results set forth in the laboratory th notes?  Review of Test  Note: Numbered comments are r additional pages for any "No" or "Unat	X \( \text{No} \) \( U In or	able to De ed and ade able to De	termine quately documented in termine
2) Are the the bence the bence Transcript not 3) Testimony co	Pes examination results set forth in the laboratory th notes?  Review of Test  Note: Numbered comments are additional pages for any "No" or "Unat available.	X O No O Un report(s) supporte X O No O Un imony: required below o	able to De ed and ade able to De r on " Respons	termine quately documented in termine
2) Are the the bence the bence at Transcript not 3) Testimony co	Pyes examination results set forth in the laboratory th notes?  Review of Test  Note: Numbered comments are additional pages for any "No" or "Unat  available.  possistent with the laboratory report(s)?	X O No O Un report(s) supporte X O No O Un imony: required below o ole to Determine	r on " Respons	quately documented in termine  es  Unable to Determine
2) Are the the bence the bence at Transcript not 3) Testimony co	Pyes examination results set forth in the laboratory th notes?  Review of Test  Note: Numbered comments are additional pages for any "No" or "Unab  available.  possistent with the laboratory report(s)?	X □ No □ Un report(s) supporte X □ No □ Un required below o ble to Determine  X□ Yes X□ Yes	r on  Respons	termine quately documented in termine es  Unable to Determine

## Comments (Set forth by above question #, if applicable, Use "Additional Comments" Sheet, if needed)

File #:	
#1: The testing of the duet tape was performed appropriately, with one exception. The	varp and fill fibers, in the
tape from the victim's residence should have been compared to the fibers in the duct ta	pe recovered from the
suspect's car.	
The examiner had test procedures available to him at the time that he could have utilize	d. By performing melting
point, for example, he could have determined if the beige fibers were the same type of	nylon. This cannot be
determined from the testing he performed, as he has testified in other cases. Also, cross	-sections should have
been prepared of the beige fibers to compare. One cannot always determine if two fiber	s have the same cross-
section from a longitudianl view as was performed in this case.	
2.46 Dia	
Review completed at: 3:45 PM (Time), 03/14/2001 (Date	)
Total time spent conducting review (to nearest 1/4 hour): 1:15 hours	
	_
hereby certify that I conducted this review in an independent, unbiased manner and tha	the results of my review
re fully documented on this report consisting of a total of4pages.	
+ //+	
Jun Roun	03/14/2001
(Signature)	(Date)

Initials: \_\_\_\_\_

## Additional Comments (Set forth by question #, if applicable)

FIIC#; 93-231022					
#2.Thin control of the state of the s					
#2: The examination results set forth in the laboratory report are supported by the bench notes, but the					
documentation is marginally adequate. The notes are not dated or initialed and are in pencil. RQ uses the					
abbreviation "ftfvtc" in his fiber comparison. This abbreviation is difficult to interpret.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
<del>-</del>					
<del></del>					
<del></del>					

Page 4 of 4
Initials: 4 SW